



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/594,408	06/15/2000	Joey L. Erickson	33012/292/101	9110
27516	7590	07/31/2008		EXAMINER
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
UNISYS CORPORATION Unisys Way Mail Station E8-114 Blue Bell, PA 19424				

DATE MAILED: 07/31/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief</b> <i>(37 CFR 41.37)</i>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/594,408 <b>Examiner</b> Jeffrey R. Swearingen	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ERICKSON ET AL. <b>Art Unit</b> 2145
--	--	---

*--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--*

The Appeal Brief filed on 28 May 2008 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

**EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.**

1.  The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.  The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3.  At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4.  (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5.  The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))
6.  The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7.  The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8.  The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9.  The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10.  Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

*The summary of claimed subject matter is defective. Applicant failed to point out each element of the claim language and correlate it to the specific portion of the specification. Applicant should do this by annotating the specific language of the independent claims with the individual, separate portions of the specification for each portion of the claim language. Applicant should also point out how each element is separate in the specification. For example, in Applicant's submitted "mapping" of claim 1, Applicant has indicated that both "element a" and "element c" are drawn to specification, page 14, lines 5-6. In Applicant's submitted "means", both the "first generating means" and "second generating means" are cited as specification, page 14, lines 5-6. Applicant should clearly state which portion of specification, page 14, lines 5-6 is relevant to the separate elements and means mapped by Applicant.*

/Jason D Cardone/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2145

/Jeffrey R. Swearingen/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2145